

CompTIA

Exam Questions CAS-005

CompTIA SecurityX Exam



NEW QUESTION 1

A security analyst discovered requests associated with IP addresses known for born legitimate 3rd bot-related traffic. Which of the following should the analyst use to determine whether the requests are malicious?

- A. User-agent string
- B. Byte length of the request
- C. Web application headers
- D. HTML encoding field

Answer: A

Explanation:

The user-agent string can provide valuable information to distinguish between legitimate and bot-related traffic. It contains details about the browser, device, and sometimes the operating system of the client making the request.

Why Use User-Agent String?

- ? Identify Patterns: User-agent strings can help identify patterns that are typical of bots or legitimate users.
- ? Block Malicious Bots: Many bots use known user-agent strings, and identifying these can help block malicious requests.
- ? Anomalies Detection: Anomalous user-agent strings can indicate spoofing attempts or malicious activity.

Other options provide useful information but may not be as effective for initial determination of the nature of the request:

- ? B. Byte length of the request: This can indicate anomalies but does not provide detailed information about the client.
- ? C. Web application headers: While useful, they may not provide enough distinction between legitimate and bot traffic.
- ? D. HTML encoding field: This is not typically used for identifying the nature of the request.

References:

- ? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide
- ? "User-Agent Analysis for Security," OWASP
- ? NIST Special Publication 800-94, "Guide to Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems (IDPS)"

NEW QUESTION 2

Users are willing passwords on paper because of the number of passwords needed in an environment. Which of the following solutions is the best way to manage this situation and decrease risks?

- A. Increasing password complexity to require 31 least 16 characters
- B. implementing an SSO solution and integrating with applications
- C. Requiring users to use an open-source password manager
- D. Implementing an MFA solution to avoid reliance only on passwords

Answer: B

Explanation:

Implementing a Single Sign-On (SSO) solution and integrating it with applications is the best way to manage the situation and decrease risks. Here??s why:

- ? Reduced Password Fatigue: SSO allows users to log in once and gain access to multiple applications and systems without needing to remember and manage multiple passwords. This reduces the likelihood of users writing down passwords.
 - ? Improved Security: By reducing the number of passwords users need to manage, SSO decreases the attack surface and potential for password-related security breaches. It also allows for the implementation of stronger authentication methods.
 - ? User Convenience: SSO improves the user experience by simplifying the login process, which can lead to higher productivity and satisfaction.
- ? References:

NEW QUESTION 3

A company's SICM Is continuously reporting false positives and false negatives The security operations team has Implemented configuration changes to troubleshoot possible reporting errors Which of the following sources of information best supports the required analysts process? (Select two).

- A. Third-party reports and logs
- B. Trends
- C. Dashboards
- D. Alert failures
- E. Network traffic summaries
- F. Manual review processes

Answer: AB

Explanation:

When dealing with false positives and false negatives reported by a Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) system, the goal is to enhance the accuracy of the alerts and ensure that actual threats are identified correctly. The following sources of information best support the analysis process:

* A. Third-party reports and logs: Utilizing external sources of information such as threat intelligence reports, vendor logs, and other third-party data can provide a broader perspective on potential threats. These sources often contain valuable insights and context that can help correlate events more accurately, reducing the likelihood of false positives and false negatives.

* B. Trends: Analyzing trends over time can help in understanding patterns and anomalies in the data. By observing trends, the security team can distinguish between normal and abnormal behavior, which aids in fine-tuning the SIEM configurations to better detect true positives and reduce false alerts.

Other options such as dashboards, alert failures, network traffic summaries, and manual review processes are also useful but are more operational rather than foundational for understanding the root causes of reporting errors in SIEM configurations.

References:

- ? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide: Emphasizes the importance of leveraging external threat intelligence and historical trends for accurate threat detection.
- ? NIST Special Publication 800-92, "Guide to Computer Security Log Management": Highlights best practices for log management, including the use of third-party sources and trend analysis to improve incident detection.
- ? "Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) Implementation" by David Miller: Discusses the use of external intelligence and trends to enhance SIEM accuracy.

NEW QUESTION 4

A global manufacturing company has an internal application that is critical to making products. This application cannot be updated and must be available in the production area. A security architect is implementing security for the application. Which of the following best describes the action the architect should take?

- A. Disallow wireless access to the application.
- B. Deploy intrusion detection capabilities using a network tap.
- C. Create an acceptable use policy for the use of the application.
- D. Create a separate network for users who need access to the application.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Creating a separate network for users who need access to the application is the best action to secure an internal application that is critical to the production area and cannot be updated.

Why Separate Network?

? Network Segmentation: Isolates the critical application from the rest of the network, reducing the risk of compromise and limiting the potential impact of any security incidents.

? Controlled Access: Ensures that only authorized users have access to the application, enhancing security and reducing the attack surface.

? Minimized Risk: Segmentation helps in protecting the application from vulnerabilities that could be exploited from other parts of the network.

Other options, while beneficial, do not provide the same level of security for a critical application:

? A. Disallow wireless access: Useful but does not provide comprehensive protection.

? B. Deploy intrusion detection capabilities using a network tap: Enhances monitoring but does not provide the same level of isolation and control.

? C. Create an acceptable use policy: Important for governance but does not provide technical security controls.

References:

? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide

? NIST Special Publication 800-125, "Guide to Security for Full Virtualization Technologies"

? "Network Segmentation Best Practices," Cisco Documentation

NEW QUESTION 5

Users must accept the terms presented in a captive portal when connecting to a guest network. Recently, users have reported that they are unable to access the Internet after joining the network. A network engineer observes the following:

- Users should be redirected to the captive portal.
- The captive portal runs TLS 1.2.
- Newer browser versions encounter security errors that cannot be bypassed.
- Certain websites cause unexpected redirects.

Which of the following most likely explains this behavior?

- A. The TLS ciphers supported by the captive portal are deprecated.
- B. Employment of the HSTS setting is proliferating rapidly.
- C. Allowed traffic rules are causing the NIPS to drop legitimate traffic.
- D. An attacker is redirecting supplicants to an evil twin WLAN.

Answer: A

Explanation:

The most likely explanation for the issues encountered with the captive portal is that the TLS ciphers supported by the captive portal are deprecated. Here's why:

? TLS Cipher Suites: Modern browsers are continuously updated to support the latest security standards and often drop support for deprecated and insecure cipher suites. If the captive portal uses outdated TLS ciphers, newer browsers may refuse to connect, causing security errors.

? HSTS and Browser Security: Browsers with HTTP Strict Transport Security

(HSTS) enabled will not allow connections to sites with weak security configurations. Deprecated TLS ciphers would cause these browsers to block the connection.

? References:

By updating the TLS ciphers to modern, supported ones, the security engineer can ensure compatibility with newer browser versions and resolve the connectivity issues reported by users.

NEW QUESTION 6

A user submits a help desk ticket stating their account does not authenticate sometimes. An analyst reviews the following logs for the user: Which of the following best explains the reason the user's access is being denied?

- A. Incorrectly typed password
- B. Time-based access restrictions
- C. Account compromise
- D. Invalid user-to-device bindings

Answer: B

Explanation:

The logs reviewed for the user indicate that access is being denied due to time-based access restrictions. These restrictions are commonly implemented to limit access to systems during specific hours to enhance security. If a user attempts to authenticate outside of the allowed time window, access will be denied. This measure helps prevent unauthorized access during non-business hours, reducing the risk of security incidents.

References:

? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide: Covers various access control methods, including time-based restrictions, as a means of enhancing security.

? NIST Special Publication 800-53, "Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations": Recommends the use of time-based access restrictions as part of access control policies.

? "Access Control and Identity Management" by Mike Chapple and Aaron French: Discusses the implementation and benefits of time-based access restrictions.

NEW QUESTION 7

During a security assessment using a CDR solution, a security engineer generates the following report about the assets in the system:

Device	Type	Status
LN002	Linux SE	Enabled (unmanaged)
OWIN23	Windows 7	Enabled
OWIN29	Windows 10	Enabled (bypass)

After five days, the EDR console reports an infection on the host OWIN23 by a remote access Trojan. Which of the following is the most probable cause of the infection?

- A. OWIN23 uses a legacy version of Windows that is not supported by the EDR
- B. LN002 was not supported by the EDR solution and propagates the RAT
- C. The EDR has an unknown vulnerability that was exploited by the attacker.
- D. OWIN29 spreads the malware through other hosts in the network

Answer: A

Explanation:

OWIN23 is running Windows 7, which is a legacy operating system. Many EDR solutions no longer provide full support for outdated operating systems like Windows 7, which has reached its end of life and is no longer receiving security updates from Microsoft. This makes such systems more vulnerable to infections and attacks, including remote access Trojans (RATs).

? A. OWIN23 uses a legacy version of Windows that is not supported by the EDR:

This is the most probable cause because the lack of support means that the EDR solution may not fully protect or monitor this system, making it an easy target for infections.

? B. LN002 was not supported by the EDR solution and propagates the RAT: While LN002 is unmanaged, it is less likely to propagate the RAT to OWIN23 directly without an established vector.

? C. The EDR has an unknown vulnerability that was exploited by the attacker: This is possible but less likely than the lack of support for an outdated OS.

? D. OWIN29 spreads the malware through other hosts in the network: While this could happen, the status indicates OWIN29 is in a bypass mode, which might limit its interactions but does not directly explain the infection on OWIN23.

References:

? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide

? NIST SP 800-53, "Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations"

? Microsoft's Windows 7 End of Support documentation

NEW QUESTION 8

Developers have been creating and managing cryptographic material on their personal laptops for use in production environment. A security engineer needs to initiate a more secure process. Which of the following is the best strategy for the engineer to use?

- A. Disabling the BIOS and moving to UEFI
- B. Managing secrets on the vTPM hardware
- C. Employing shielding to prevent LMI
- D. Managing key material on a HSM

Answer: D

Explanation:

The best strategy for securely managing cryptographic material is to use a Hardware Security Module (HSM). Here's why:

? Security and Integrity: HSMs are specialized hardware devices designed to protect and manage digital keys. They provide high levels of physical and logical security, ensuring that cryptographic material is well protected against tampering and unauthorized access.

? Centralized Key Management: Using HSMs allows for centralized management of cryptographic keys, reducing the risks associated with decentralized and potentially insecure key storage practices, such as on personal laptops.

? Compliance and Best Practices: HSMs comply with various industry standards and regulations (such as FIPS 140-2) for secure key management. This ensures that the organization adheres to best practices and meets compliance requirements.

? References:

NEW QUESTION 9

A security engineer is developing a solution to meet the following requirements?

- All endpoints should be able to establish telemetry with a SIEM.
- All endpoints should be able to be integrated into the XDR platform.
- SOC services should be able to monitor the XDR platform

Which of the following should the security engineer implement to meet the requirements?

- A. CDR and central logging
- B. HIDS and vTPM
- C. WAF and syslog
- D. HIPS and host-based firewall

Answer: D

Explanation:

To meet the requirements of having all endpoints establish telemetry with a SIEM, integrate into an XDR platform, and allow SOC services to monitor the XDR platform, the best approach is to implement Host Intrusion Prevention Systems (HIPS) and a host-based firewall. HIPS can provide detailed telemetry data to the SIEM and can be integrated into the XDR platform for comprehensive monitoring and response. The host-based firewall ensures that only authorized traffic is allowed, providing an additional layer of security.

References:

? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide: Describes the roles of HIPS and host-based firewalls in endpoint security and their integration with SIEM and XDR platforms.

? NIST Special Publication 800-94, "Guide to Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems (IDPS)": Highlights the capabilities of HIPS for security monitoring and incident response.
 ? "Network Security Monitoring" by Richard Bejtlich: Discusses the integration of various security tools, including HIPS and firewalls, for effective security monitoring.

NEW QUESTION 10

A security engineer is building a solution to disable weak CBC configuration for remote access connections to Linux systems. Which of the following should the security engineer modify?

- A. The /etc/openssl.conf file, updating the virtual site parameter
- B. The /etc/nsswith.conf file, updating the name server
- C. The /etc/hosts file, updating the IP parameter
- D. The /etc/ssh/sshd_config file, updating the ciphers

Answer: D

Explanation:

The sshd_config file is the main configuration file for the OpenSSH server. To disable weak CBC (Cipher Block Chaining) ciphers for SSH connections, the security engineer should modify the sshd_config file to update the list of allowed ciphers. This file typically contains settings for the SSH daemon, including which encryption algorithms are allowed.

By editing the /etc/ssh/sshd_config file and updating the Ciphers directive, weak ciphers can be removed, and only strong ciphers can be allowed. This change ensures that the SSH server does not use insecure encryption methods.

References:

- ? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide
- ? OpenSSH manual pages (man sshd_config)
- ? CIS Benchmarks for Linux

NEW QUESTION 10

A security analyst is reviewing the following authentication logs:

Date	Time	Computer	Account	Log-in success?
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM01	User1	No
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM01	User1	No
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM08	User8	No
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM01	User1	No
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM01	User1	No
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM12	User12	Yes
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM01	User1	Yes
12/15	8:01:23AM	VM01	User2	No
12/15	8:01:24AM	VM01	User2	No
12/15	8:01:24AM	VM01	User2	No
12/15	8:01:25AM	VM01	User2	No
12/15	8:01:25AM	VM08	User8	Yes

Which of the following should the analyst do first?

- A. Disable User2's account
- B. Disable User12's account
- C. Disable User8's account
- D. Disable User1's account

Answer: D

Explanation:

Based on the provided authentication logs, we observe that User1's account experienced multiple failed login attempts within a very short time span (at 8:01:23 AM on 12/15). This pattern indicates a potential brute-force attack or an attempt to gain unauthorized access. Here's a breakdown of why disabling User1's account is the appropriate first step:

? Failed Login Attempts: The logs show that User1 had four consecutive failed login attempts:

? Security Protocols and Best Practices: According to CompTIA Security+ guidelines, multiple failed login attempts within a short timeframe should trigger an immediate response to prevent further potential unauthorized access attempts. This typically involves temporarily disabling the account to stop ongoing brute-force attacks.

? Account Lockout Policy: Implementing an account lockout policy is a standard practice to thwart brute-force attacks. Disabling User1's account will align with these best practices and prevent further failed attempts, which might lead to successful unauthorized access if not addressed.

? References:

By addressing User1's account first, we effectively mitigate the immediate threat of a brute-force attack, ensuring that further investigation can be conducted without the risk of unauthorized access continuing during the investigation period.

NEW QUESTION 15

Which of the following AI concerns is most adequately addressed by input sanitation?

- A. Model inversion
- B. Prompt Injection
- C. Data poisoning
- D. Non-explainable model

Answer: B

Explanation:

Input sanitation is a critical process in cybersecurity that involves validating and cleaning data provided by users to prevent malicious inputs from causing harm. In the context of AI concerns:

? A. Model inversion involves an attacker inferring sensitive data from model outputs, typically requiring sophisticated methods beyond just manipulating input data.

? B. Prompt Injection is a form of attack where an adversary provides malicious input to manipulate the behavior of AI models, particularly those dealing with natural language processing (NLP). Input sanitation directly addresses this by ensuring that inputs are cleaned and validated to remove potentially harmful commands or instructions that could alter the AI's behavior.

? C. Data poisoning involves injecting malicious data into the training set to compromise the model. While input sanitation can help by filtering out bad data, data poisoning is typically addressed through robust data validation and monitoring during the model training phase, rather than real-time input sanitation.

? D. Non-explainable model refers to the lack of transparency in how AI models make decisions. This concern is not addressed by input sanitation, as it relates more to model design and interpretability techniques.

Input sanitation is most relevant and effective for preventing Prompt Injection attacks, where the integrity of user inputs directly impacts the performance and security of AI models.

References:

? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide

? "Security of Machine Learning" by Battista Biggio, Blaine Nelson, and Pavel Laskov

? OWASP (Open Web Application Security Project) guidelines on input validation and injection attacks

Top of Form Bottom of Form

NEW QUESTION 16

An organization that performs real-time financial processing is implementing a new backup solution. Given the following business requirements?

- * The backup solution must reduce the risk for potential backup compromise
- * The backup solution must be resilient to a ransomware attack.
- * The time to restore from backups is less important than the backup data integrity
- * Multiple copies of production data must be maintained

Which of the following backup strategies best meets these requirements?

- A. Creating a secondary, immutable storage array and updating it with live data on a continuous basis
- B. Utilizing two connected storage arrays and ensuring the arrays constantly sync
- C. Enabling remote journaling on the databases to ensure real-time transactions are mirrored
- D. Setting up antitempering on the databases to ensure data cannot be changed unintentionally

Answer: A

Explanation:

? A. Creating a secondary, immutable storage array and updating it with live data on a continuous basis: An immutable storage array ensures that data, once written, cannot be altered or deleted. This greatly reduces the risk of backup compromise and provides resilience against ransomware attacks, as the ransomware cannot modify or delete the backup data. Maintaining multiple copies of production data with an immutable storage solution ensures data integrity and compliance with the requirement for multiple copies.

Other options:

? B. Utilizing two connected storage arrays and ensuring the arrays constantly sync: While this ensures data redundancy, it does not provide protection against ransomware attacks, as both arrays could be compromised simultaneously.

? C. Enabling remote journaling on the databases: This ensures real-time transaction mirroring but does not address the requirement for reducing the risk of backup compromise or resilience to ransomware.

? D. Setting up anti-tampering on the databases: While this helps ensure data integrity, it does not provide a comprehensive backup solution that meets all the specified requirements.

References:

? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide

? NIST SP 800-209, "Security Guidelines for Storage Infrastructure"

? "Immutable Backup Architecture" by Veeam

NEW QUESTION 18

A compliance officer is reviewing the data sovereignty laws in several countries where the organization has no presence. Which of the following is the most likely reason for reviewing these laws?

- A. The organization is performing due diligence of potential tax issues.
- B. The organization has been subject to legal proceedings in countries where it has a presence.
- C. The organization is concerned with new regulatory enforcement in other countries.
- D. The organization has suffered brand reputation damage from incorrect media coverage.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Reviewing data sovereignty laws in countries where the organization has no presence is likely due to concerns about regulatory enforcement. Data sovereignty laws dictate how data can be stored, processed, and transferred across borders. Understanding these laws is crucial for compliance, especially if the organization handles data that may be subject to foreign regulations.

? A. The organization is performing due diligence of potential tax issues: This is less likely as tax issues are generally not directly related to data sovereignty laws.

? B. The organization has been subject to legal proceedings in countries where it has a presence: While possible, this does not explain the focus on countries where the organization has no presence.

? C. The organization is concerned with new regulatory enforcement in other countries: This is the most likely reason. New regulations could impact the organization's operations, especially if they involve data transfers or processing data from these countries.

? D. The organization has suffered brand reputation damage from incorrect media coverage: This is less relevant to the need for reviewing data sovereignty laws.

References:

? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide

? GDPR and other global data protection regulations

? "Data Sovereignty: The Future of Data Protection?" by Mark Burdon

NEW QUESTION 22

Which of the following best explains the importance of determining organization risk appetite when operating with a constrained budget?

A. Risk appetite directly impacts acceptance of high-impact low-likelihood events.

B. Organizational risk appetite varies from organization to organization

C. Budgetary pressure drives risk mitigation planning in all companies

D. Risk appetite directly influences which breaches are disclosed publicly

Answer: A

Explanation:

Risk appetite is the amount of risk an organization is willing to accept to achieve its objectives. When operating with a constrained budget, understanding the organization's risk appetite is crucial because:

? It helps prioritize security investments based on the level of risk the organization is willing to tolerate.

? High-impact, low-likelihood events may be deemed acceptable if they fall within the organization's risk appetite, allowing for budget allocation to other critical areas.

? Properly understanding and defining risk appetite ensures that limited resources are used effectively to manage risks that align with the organization's strategic goals.

References:

? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide

? NIST Risk Management Framework (RMF) guidelines

? ISO 31000, "Risk Management – Guidelines"

NEW QUESTION 26

A systems administrator wants to introduce a newly released feature for an internal application. The administrator does not want to test the feature in the production environment. Which of the following locations is the best place to test the new feature?

A. Staging environment

B. Testing environment

C. CI/CO pipeline

D. Development environment

Answer: A

Explanation:

The best location to test a newly released feature for an internal application, without affecting the production environment, is the staging environment. Here's a detailed Explanation

? Staging Environment: This environment closely mirrors the production environment

in terms of hardware, software, configurations, and settings. It serves as a final testing ground before deploying changes to production. Testing in the staging environment ensures that the new feature will behave as expected in the actual production setup.

? Isolation from Production: The staging environment is isolated from production,

which means any issues arising from the new feature will not impact the live users or the integrity of the production data. This aligns with best practices in change management and risk mitigation.

? Realistic Testing: Since the staging environment replicates the production

environment, it provides realistic testing conditions. This helps in identifying potential issues that might not be apparent in a development or testing environment, which often have different configurations and workloads.

? References:

NEW QUESTION 30

A company that uses containers to run its applications is required to identify vulnerabilities on every container image in a private repository. The security team needs to be able to quickly evaluate whether to respond to a given vulnerability. Which of the following will allow the security team to achieve the objective with the least effort?

A. SAST scan reports

B. Centralized SBoM

C. CIS benchmark compliance reports

D. Credentialed vulnerability scan

Answer: B

Explanation:

A centralized Software Bill of Materials (SBoM) is the best solution for identifying vulnerabilities in container images in a private repository. An SBoM provides a

comprehensive inventory of all components, dependencies, and their versions within a container image, facilitating quick evaluation and response to vulnerabilities. Why Centralized SBoM?

- ? Comprehensive Inventory: An SBoM lists all software components, including their versions and dependencies, allowing for thorough vulnerability assessments.
- ? Quick Identification: Centralizing SBoM data enables rapid identification of affected containers when a vulnerability is disclosed.
- ? Automation: SBoMs can be integrated into automated tools for continuous monitoring and alerting of vulnerabilities.
- ? Regulatory Compliance: Helps in meeting compliance requirements by providing a clear and auditable record of all software components used.

Other options, while useful, do not provide the same level of comprehensive and efficient vulnerability management:

- ? A. SAST scan reports: Focuses on static analysis of code but may not cover all components in container images.
- ? C. CIS benchmark compliance reports: Ensures compliance with security benchmarks but does not provide detailed component inventory.
- ? D. Credentialed vulnerability scan: Useful for in-depth scans but may not be as efficient for quick vulnerability evaluation.

References:

- ? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide
- ? "Software Bill of Materials (SBoM)," NIST Documentation
- ? "Managing Container Security with SBoM," OWASP

NEW QUESTION 35

A security team is responding to malicious activity and needs to determine the scope of impact the malicious activity appears to affect certain version of an application used by the organization Which of the following actions best enables the team to determine the scope of Impact?

- A. Performing a port scan
- B. Inspecting egress network traffic
- C. Reviewing the asset inventory
- D. Analyzing user behavior

Answer: C

Explanation:

Reviewing the asset inventory allows the security team to identify all instances of the affected application versions within the organization. By knowing which systems are running the vulnerable versions, the team can assess the full scope of the impact, determine which systems might be compromised, and prioritize them for further investigation and remediation.

Performing a port scan (Option A) might help identify open ports but does not provide specific information about the application versions. Inspecting egress network traffic (Option B) and analyzing user behavior (Option D) are important steps in the incident response process but do not directly identify which versions of the application are affected. References:

- ? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide
- ? NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2, "Computer Security Incident Handling Guide"
- ? CIS Controls, "Control 1: Inventory and Control of Hardware Assets" and "Control 2: Inventory and Control of Software Assets"


NEW QUESTION 40


SIMULATION

You are tasked with integrating a new B2B client application with an existing OAuth workflow that must meet the following requirements:

- . The application does not need to know the users' credentials.
- . An approval interaction between the users and the HTTP service must be orchestrated.
- . The application must have limited access to users' data. INSTRUCTIONS

Use the drop-down menus to select the action items for the appropriate locations. All placeholders must be filled.





- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Select the Action Items for the Appropriate Locations:

- ? Authorization Server:
- ? Resource Server:
- ? B2B Client Application:

Detailed Explanation

OAuth 2.0 is designed to provide specific authorization flows for web applications, desktop applications, mobile phones, and living room devices. The integration involves multiple steps and components, including:

- ? Resource Owner (User):
- ? Client Application (B2B Client Application):
- ? Authorization Server:
- ? Resource Server:

OAuth Workflow:

- ? The resource owner accesses the client application.
- ? The client application redirects the resource owner to the authorization server for authentication.
- ? The authorization server authenticates the resource owner and asks for consent to grant access to the client application.
- ? Upon consent, the authorization server issues an authorization code or token to the client application.
- ? The client application uses the authorization code or token to request access to the resources from the resource server.
- ? The resource server verifies the token with the authorization server and, if valid, grants access to the requested resources.

References:

- ? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide: Provides comprehensive information on various authentication and authorization protocols, including OAuth.
- ? OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework (RFC 6749): The official documentation detailing the OAuth 2.0 framework, its flows, and components.
- ? OAuth 2.0 Simplified: A book by Aaron Parecki that provides a detailed yet easy- to-understand explanation of the OAuth 2.0 protocol.

By ensuring that each component in the OAuth workflow performs its designated role, the B2B client application can securely access the necessary resources without compromising user credentials, adhering to the principle of least privilege.

NEW QUESTION 42

A cloud engineer needs to identify appropriate solutions to:

- Provide secure access to internal and external cloud resources.
- Eliminate split-tunnel traffic flows.
- Enable identity and access management capabilities.

Which of the following solutions arc the most appropriate? (Select two).

- A. Federation
- B. Microsegmentation
- C. CASB
- D. PAM
- E. SD-WAN
- F. SASE

Answer: CF

Explanation:

To provide secure access to internal and external cloud resources, eliminate split-tunnel traffic flows, and enable identity and access management capabilities, the most appropriate solutions are CASB (Cloud Access Security Broker) and SASE (Secure Access Service Edge).

Why CASB and SASE?

? CASB (Cloud Access Security Broker):

? SASE (Secure Access Service Edge):

Other options, while useful, do not comprehensively address all the requirements:

? A. Federation: Useful for identity management but does not eliminate split-tunnel traffic or provide comprehensive security.

? B. Microsegmentation: Enhances security within the network but does not directly address secure access to cloud resources or split-tunnel traffic.

? D. PAM (Privileged Access Management): Focuses on managing privileged accounts and does not provide comprehensive access control for internal and external resources.

? E. SD-WAN: Enhances WAN performance but does not inherently provide the identity and access management capabilities or eliminate split-tunnel traffic.

References:

? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide

? "CASB: Cloud Access Security Broker," Gartner Research

NEW QUESTION 47

Which of the following best describes the challenges associated with widespread adoption of homomorphic encryption techniques?

- A. Incomplete mathematical primitives
- B. No use cases to drive adoption
- C. Quantum computers not yet capable
- D. insufficient coprocessor support

Answer: D

Explanation:

Homomorphic encryption allows computations to be performed on encrypted data without decrypting it, providing strong privacy guarantees. However, the adoption of homomorphic encryption is challenging due to several factors:

? A. Incomplete mathematical primitives: This is not the primary barrier as the theoretical foundations of homomorphic encryption are well-developed.

? B. No use cases to drive adoption: There are several compelling use cases for homomorphic encryption, especially in privacy-sensitive fields like healthcare and finance.

? C. Quantum computers not yet capable: Quantum computing is not directly related to the challenges of adopting homomorphic encryption.

? D. Insufficient coprocessor support: The computational overhead of homomorphic encryption is significant, requiring substantial processing power. Current general-purpose processors are not optimized for the intensive computations required by homomorphic encryption, limiting its practical deployment. Specialized hardware or coprocessors designed to handle these computations more efficiently are not yet widely available.

References:

? CompTIA Security+ Study Guide

? "Homomorphic Encryption: Applications and Challenges" by Rivest et al.

? NIST, "Report on Post-Quantum Cryptography"

NEW QUESTION 48

A security analyst is troubleshooting the reason a specific user is having difficulty accessing company resources The analyst reviews the following information:

User	Source IP	Source location	User assigned location	MFA satisfied?	Sign-in status
SALES1	8.11.4.16	Germany	France	Yes	Blocked
SALES1	8.11.4.16	Germany	France	Yes	Blocked
ACCT1	192.168.4.18	France	France	No	Allowed
SALES1	8.11.4.16	Germany	France	Yes	Blocked
ACCT1	8.11.4.16	Germany	France	Yes	Blocked
SALES2	8.11.4.20	France	France	Yes	Allowed

Which of the following is most likely the cause of the issue?

- A. The local network access has been configured to bypass MFA requirements.
- B. A network geolocation is being misidentified by the authentication server
- C. Administrator access from an alternate location is blocked by company policy
- D. Several users have not configured their mobile devices to receive OTP codes

Answer: B

Explanation:

The table shows that the user "SALES1" is consistently blocked despite having met the MFA requirements. The common factor in these blocked attempts is the source IP address (8.11.4.16) being identified as from Germany while the user is assigned to France. This discrepancy suggests that the network geolocation is being misidentified by the authentication server, causing legitimate access attempts to be blocked.

Why Network Geolocation Misidentification?

? Geolocation Accuracy: Authentication systems often use IP geolocation to verify the location of access attempts. Incorrect geolocation data can lead to legitimate requests being denied if they appear to come from unexpected locations.

? Security Policies: Company security policies might block access attempts from certain locations to prevent unauthorized access. If the geolocation is wrong, legitimate users can be inadvertently blocked.

? Consistent Pattern: The user "SALES1" from the IP address 8.11.4.16 is always blocked, indicating a consistent issue with geolocation.

Other options do not align with the pattern observed:

? A. Bypass MFA requirements: MFA is satisfied, so bypassing MFA is not the issue.

? C. Administrator access policy: This is about user access, not specific administrator access.

? D. OTP codes: The user has satisfied MFA, so OTP code configuration is not the issue.

References:

? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide

? "Geolocation and Authentication," NIST Special Publication 800-63B

? "IP Geolocation Accuracy," Cisco Documentation

NEW QUESTION 49

SIMULATION

A product development team has submitted code snippets for review prior to release. INSTRUCTIONS

Analyze the code snippets, and then select one vulnerability, and one fix for each code snippet.

Code Snippet 1

Code Snippet 1

Code Snippet 2

Web browser:

URL: `https://comptia.org/profiles/userdetails?userid=103`

Web server code:

```
--
String accountQuery = "SELECT * from users WHERE userid = ?";
PreparedStatement stmt = connection.prepareStatement(accountQuery);
stmt.setString(1, request.getParameter("userid"));
ResultSet queryResponse = stmt.executeQuery();
--
```

Code Snippet 2

```
Caller:
URL: https://comptia.org/api/userprofile?userid=103

API endpoint (/searchDirectory):
...
import subprocess
from http.server import HTTPServer, BaseHTTPRequestHandler
httpd = HTTPServer(('192.168.0.5', 8443), BaseHTTPRequestHandler)
httpd.serve_forever()

def get_request(request):
    userId = request.getParam('userid')

    ldapLookup = 'ldapsearch -D "cn=' + userId + '" -W -p 389
                  -h loginserver.comptia.org
                  -b "dc=comptia,dc=org" -s sub -x "(objectclass=*)"'
    accountLookup = subprocess.Popen(ldapLookup)

    if (userExists(accountLookup))
        accountFound = true
    else
        accountFound = false
    ...
```

Vulnerability 1:

? SQL injection

? Cross-site request forgery

? Server-side request forgery

? Indirect object reference

? Cross-site scripting

Fix 1:

? Perform input sanitization of the userid field.

? Perform output encoding of queryResponse.

? Ensure usex:ia belongs to logged-in user.

? Inspect URLs and disallow arbitrary requests.

? Implement anti-forgery tokens.

Vulnerability 2

- 1) Denial of service
- 2) Command injection
- 3) SQL injection
- 4) Authorization bypass
- 5) Credentials passed via GET

Fix 2

- A) Implement prepared statements and bind variables.
- B) Remove the serve_forever instruction.
- C) Prevent the "authenticated" value from being overridden by a GET parameter.
- D) HTTP POST should be used for sensitive parameters.
- E) Perform input sanitization of the userid field.

- A. Mastered
B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Code Snippet 1

Vulnerability 1: SQL injection

SQL injection is a type of attack that exploits a vulnerability in the code that interacts with a database. An attacker can inject malicious SQL commands into the input fields, such as username or password, and execute them on the database server. This can result in data theft, data corruption, or unauthorized access.

Fix 1: Perform input sanitization of the userid field.

Input sanitization is a technique that prevents SQL injection by validating and filtering the user input values before passing them to the database. The input sanitization should remove any special characters, such as quotes, semicolons, or dashes, that can alter the intended SQL query. Alternatively, the input sanitization can use a whitelist of allowed values and reject any other values.

Code Snippet 2

Vulnerability 2: Cross-site request forgery

Cross-site request forgery (CSRF) is a type of attack that exploits a vulnerability in the code that handles web requests. An attacker can trick a user into sending a malicious web request to a server that performs an action on behalf of the user, such as changing their password, transferring funds, or deleting data. This can result in unauthorized actions, data loss, or account compromise.

Fix 2: Implement anti-forgery tokens.

Anti-forgery tokens are techniques that prevent CSRF by adding a unique and secret value to each web request that is generated by the server and verified by the server before performing the action. The anti-forgery token should be different for each user and each session, and should not be predictable or reusable by an attacker. This way, only legitimate web requests from the user's browser can be accepted by the server.

NEW QUESTION 50

A systems engineer is configuring a system baseline for servers that will provide email services. As part of the architecture design, the engineer needs to improve performance of the systems by using an access vector cache, facilitating mandatory access control and protecting against:

- Unauthorized reading and modification of data and programs
- Bypassing application security mechanisms
- Privilege escalation
- interference with other processes

Which of the following is the most appropriate for the engineer to deploy?

- A. SELinux
B. Privileged access management
C. Self-encrypting disks
D. NIPS

Answer: A

Explanation:

The most appropriate solution for the systems engineer to deploy is SELinux (Security- Enhanced Linux). Here's why:

? Mandatory Access Control (MAC): SELinux enforces MAC policies, ensuring that only authorized users and processes can access specific resources. This helps in preventing unauthorized reading and modification of data and programs.

? Access Vector Cache: SELinux utilizes an access vector cache (AVC) to improve performance. The AVC caches access decisions, reducing the need for repetitive policy lookups and thus improving system efficiency.

? Security Mechanisms: SELinux provides a robust framework to enforce security policies and prevent bypassing of application security mechanisms. It controls access based on defined policies, ensuring that security measures are consistently applied.

? Privilege Escalation and Process Interference: SELinux limits the ability of processes to escalate privileges and interfere with each other by enforcing strict access controls. This containment helps in isolating processes and minimizing the risk of privilege escalation attacks.

? References:

NEW QUESTION 51

A company lined an email service provider called my-email.com to deliver company emails. The company stalled having several issues during the migration. A security engineer is troubleshooting and observes the following configuration snippet:

@	MX	10	email.company.com	45000
www	IN	CNAME	web01.company.com.	
email	IN	CNAME	srv01.company.com	
srv01	IN	A	192.168.1.10	
web01	IN	A	192.168.1.11	
@	IN	TXT	"v=dmARC include:company.com ~all"	

Which of the following should the security engineer modify to fix the issue? (Select two).

- A. The email CNAME record must be changed to a type A record pointing to 192.168.111
- B. The TXT record must be Changed to "v=dmARC ip4:192.168.1.10 include:my-email.com - all"
- C. The srv01 A record must be changed to a type CNAME record pointing to the email server
- D. The email CNAME record must be changed to a type A record pointing to 192.168.1.10
- E. The TXT record must be changed to "v=dkim ip4:192.168.1.11 include my-email.com - ell"
- F. The TXT record must be Changed to "v=dkim ip4:192.168.1.10 include:email-all"
- G. The srv01 A record must be changed to a type CNAME record pointing to the web01 server

Answer: BD

Explanation:

The security engineer should modify the following to fix the email migration issues:

? Email CNAME Record: The email CNAME record must be changed to a type A record pointing to 192.168.1.10. This is because CNAME records should not be used where an IP address (A record) is required. Changing it to an A record ensures direct pointing to the correct IP.

? TXT Record for DMARC: The TXT record must be changed to "v=dmARC ip4:192.168.1.10 include com -all". This ensures proper configuration of DMARC (Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting & Conformance) to include the correct IP address and the email service provider domain.

? uk.co.certification.simulator.questionpool.PList@488ba0cc

? References:

NEW QUESTION 52

A security analyst received a report that an internal web page is down after a company- wide update to the web browser Given the following error message:

```
Your connection is not private.
Attackers might be trying to steal your information for www.internalwebsite.company.com.
NET::ERR_CERT_WEAK_SIGNATURE_ALGORITHM
```

Which of the following is the best way to fix this issue?

- A. Rewriting any legacy web functions
- B. Disabling all deprecated ciphers
- C. Blocking all non-essential ports
- D. Discontinuing the use of self-signed certificates

Answer: D

Explanation:

The error message "NET::ERR_CERT_WEAK_SIGNATURE_ALGORITHM" indicates that the web browser is rejecting the certificate because it uses a weak signature algorithm. This commonly happens with self-signed certificates, which often use outdated or insecure algorithms.

Why Discontinue Self-Signed Certificates?

? Security Compliance: Modern browsers enforce strict security standards and may reject certificates that do not comply with these standards.

? Trusted Certificates: Using certificates from a trusted Certificate Authority (CA) ensures compliance with security standards and is less likely to be flagged as insecure.

? Weak Signature Algorithm: Self-signed certificates might use weak algorithms like MD5 or SHA-1, which are considered insecure.

Other options do not address the specific cause of the certificate error:

? A. Rewriting legacy web functions: Does not address the certificate issue.

? B. Disabling deprecated ciphers: Useful for improving security but not related to the certificate error.

? C. Blocking non-essential ports: This is unrelated to the issue of certificate validation.

References:

? CompTIA SecurityX Study Guide

? "Managing SSL/TLS Certificates," OWASP

? "Best Practices for Certificate Management," NIST Special Publication 800-57

NEW QUESTION 53

.....

Thank You for Trying Our Product

We offer two products:

1st - We have Practice Tests Software with Actual Exam Questions

2nd - Questions and Answers in PDF Format

CAS-005 Practice Exam Features:

- * CAS-005 Questions and Answers Updated Frequently
- * CAS-005 Practice Questions Verified by Expert Senior Certified Staff
- * CAS-005 Most Realistic Questions that Guarantee you a Pass on Your FirstTry
- * CAS-005 Practice Test Questions in Multiple Choice Formats and Updatesfor 1 Year

100% Actual & Verified — Instant Download, Please Click
[Order The CAS-005 Practice Test Here](#)