

Linux-Foundation

Exam Questions CKS

Certified Kubernetes Security Specialist (CKS) Exam



NEW QUESTION 1

Create a network policy named restrict-np to restrict to pod nginx-test running in namespace testing. Only allow the following Pods to connect to Pod nginx-test:

- * 1. pods in the namespace default
- * 2. pods with label version:v1 in any namespace.

Make sure to apply the network policy.

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Send us your Feedback on this.

NEW QUESTION 2

Create a new NetworkPolicy named deny-all in the namespace testing which denies all traffic of type ingress and egress traffic

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

You can create a "default" isolation policy for a namespace by creating a NetworkPolicy that selects all pods but does not allow any ingress traffic to those pods.

--

```
apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1
```

```
kind: NetworkPolicy
```

```
metadata:
```

```
name: default-deny-ingress
```

```
spec:
```

```
podSelector: {}
```

```
policyTypes:
```

```
- Ingress
```

You can create a "default" egress isolation policy for a namespace by creating a NetworkPolicy that selects all pods but does not allow any egress traffic from those pods.

--

```
apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1
```

```
kind: NetworkPolicy
```

```
metadata:
```

```
name: allow-all-egress
```

```
spec:
```

```
podSelector: {}
```

```
egress:
```

```
- {}
```

```
policyTypes:
```

```
- Egress
```

Default deny all ingress and all egress trafficYou can create a "default" policy for a namespace which prevents all ingress AND egress traffic by creating the following NetworkPolicy in that namespace.

--

```
apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1
```

```
kind: NetworkPolicy
```

```
metadata:
```

```
name: default-deny-all
```

```
spec:
```

```
podSelector: {}
```

```
policyTypes:
```

```
- Ingress
```

```
- Egress
```

This ensures that even pods that aren't selected by any other NetworkPolicy will not be allowed ingress or egress traffic.

NEW QUESTION 3

Given an existing Pod named nginx-pod running in the namespace test-system, fetch the service-account-name used and put the content in /candidate/KSC00124.txt

Create a new Role named dev-test-role in the namespace test-system, which can perform update operations, on resources of type namespaces.

Create a new RoleBinding named dev-test-role-binding, which binds the newly created Role to the Pod's ServiceAccount (found in the Nginx pod running in namespace test-system).

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Send us your feedback on it.

NEW QUESTION 4

A container image scanner is set up on the cluster. Given an incomplete configuration in the directory

/etc/Kubernetes/confcontrol and a functional container image scanner with HTTPS endpoint https://acme.local.8081/image_policy

- * 1. Enable the admission plugin.
 - * 2. Validate the control configuration and change it to implicit deny.
- Finally, test the configuration by deploying the pod having the image tag as the latest.

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Send us your feedback on it.

NEW QUESTION 5

Fix all issues via configuration and restart the affected components to ensure the new setting takes effect. Fix all of the following violations that were found against the API server:

- * a. Ensure the --authorization-mode argument includes RBAC
- * b. Ensure the --authorization-mode argument includes Node
- * c. Ensure that the --profiling argument is set to false

Fix all of the following violations that were found against the Kubelet:

- * a. Ensure the --anonymous-auth argument is set to false.
- * b. Ensure that the --authorization-mode argument is set to Webhook.

Fix all of the following violations that were found against the ETCD:

- * a. Ensure that the --auto-tls argument is not set to true

Hint: Take the use of Tool Kube-Bench

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

API server:

Ensure the --authorization-mode argument includes RBAC

Turn on Role Based Access Control. Role Based Access Control (RBAC) allows fine-grained control over the operations that different entities can perform on different objects in the cluster. It is recommended to use the RBAC authorization mode.

Fix - BuildtimeKubernetesapiVersion: v1

kind: Pod

metadata:

creationTimestamp: null

labels:

component: kube-apiserver

tier: control-plane

name: kube-apiserver

namespace: kube-system spec:

containers:

-command:

+ - kube-apiserver

+ - --authorization-mode=RBAC,Node

image: gcr.io/google_containers/kube-apiserver-amd64:v1.6.0

livenessProbe:

failureThreshold: 8

httpGet:

host: 127.0.0.1

path: /healthz

port: 6443

scheme: HTTPS

initialDelaySeconds: 15

timeoutSeconds: 15

name: kube-apiserver-should-pass

resources:

requests: cpu: 250m

volumeMounts:

-mountPath: /etc/kubernetes/

name: k8s

readOnly: true

-mountPath: /etc/ssl/certs

name: certs

-mountPath: /etc/pki

name: pki

hostNetwork: true

volumes:

-hostPath:

path: /etc/kubernetes

name: k8s

-hostPath:

path: /etc/ssl/certs

name: certs

-hostPath:

path: /etc/pki

name: pki

Ensure the --authorization-mode argument includes Node

Remediation: Edit the API server pod specification file at /etc/kubernetes/manifests/kube-apiserver.yaml on

the master node and set the --authorization-mode parameter to a value that includeNs ode.

--authorization-mode=Node,RBAC

Audit:

/bin/ps -ef | grep kube-apiserver | grep -v grep

Expected result:

'Node,RBAC' has 'Node'

Ensure that the --profiling argumentissettofalse

Remediation: Edit the API server pod specification fil/eetc/kubernetes/manifests/kube-apiserver.yaml on the master node and set the below parameter.

--profiling=false

Audit:

/bin/ps -ef | grep kube-apiserver | grep -v grep

Expected result:

'false' is equal to 'false'

Fix all of the following violations that were found against the Kubelet:-

Ensure the --anonymous-auth argumentissettofalse.

Remediation: If using a Kubelet config file, edit the file to set authenticationa:nonymous: enabled to false. If using executable arguments, edit the kubelet service file

/etc/systemd/system/kubelet.service.d/10-kubeadm.conf

on each worker node and set the below parameter

in KUBELET_SYSTEM_PODS_ARGS

--anonymous-auth=false

variable.

Based on your system, restart the kubelet service. For example:

systemctl daemon-reload

systemctl restart kubelet.service

Audit:

/bin/ps -fC kubelet

Audit Config:

/bin/cat /var/lib/kubelet/config.yaml

Expected result:

'false' is equal to 'false'

*2) Ensure that the --authorization-mode argumentissetto Webhook.

Audit

docker inspect kubelet | jq -e'.[0].Args[] | match("--authorization-mode=Webhook").string'

Returned Value: --authorization-mode=Webhook

Fix all of the following violations that were found against the ETCD:

*a. Ensure that the --auto-tls argument is not set to true

Do not use self-signed certificates for TLS. etcd is a highly-available key value store used by Kubernetes deployments for persistent storage of all of its REST API objects. These objects are sensitive in nature and should not be available to unauthenticated clients. You should enable the client authentication via valid certificates to secure the access to the etcd service.

Fix - BuildtimeKubernetesapiVersion: v1

kind: Pod

metadata:

annotations:

scheduler.alpha.kubernetes.io/critical-pod:""

creationTimestamp: null

labels:

component: etcd

tier: control-plane

name: etcd

namespace: kube-system

spec:

containers:

-command:

+ - etcd

+ - --auto-tls=true

image: k8s.gcr.io/etcd-amd64:3.2.18

imagePullPolicy: IfNotPresent

livenessProbe:

exec:

command:

- /bin/sh

- -ec

- ETCDCTL_API=3 etcdctl --endpoints=https://[192.168.22.9]:2379 --cacert=/etc/kubernetes/pki/etcd/ca.crt

--cert=/etc/kubernetes/pki/etcd/healthcheck-client.crt --key=/etc/kubernetes/pki/etcd/healthcheck-client.key get foo

failureThreshold:8

initialDelaySeconds:15

timeoutSeconds:15

name: etcd-should-fail

resources: {}

volumeMounts:

-mountPath: /var/lib/etcd

name: etcd-data

-mountPath: /etc/kubernetes/pki/etcd

name: etcd-certs

hostNetwork:true

priorityClassName: system-cluster-critical

volumes:

-hostPath:

path: /var/lib/etcd

type: DirectoryOrCreate

name: etcd-data

-hostPath:


```
path: /etc/kubernetes/pki/etcd
type: DirectoryOrCreate
name: etcd-certs
status: {}
```

NEW QUESTION 6

Create a PSP that will prevent the creation of privileged pods in the namespace.

Create a new PodSecurityPolicy named prevent-privileged-policy which prevents the creation of privileged pods.

Create a new ServiceAccount named psp-sa in the namespace default.

Create a new ClusterRole named prevent-role, which uses the newly created Pod Security Policy prevent-privileged-policy.

Create a new ClusterRoleBinding named prevent-role-binding, which binds the created ClusterRole prevent-role to the created SA psp-sa.

Also, Check the Configuration is working or not by trying to Create a Privileged pod, it should get failed.

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Create a PSP that will prevent the creation of privileged pods in the namespace.

```
$ cat clusterrole-use-privileged.yaml
```

```
--
```

```
apiVersion: rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
```

```
kind: ClusterRole
```

```
metadata:
```

```
name: use-privileged-psp
```

```
rules:
```

```
- apiGroups: ['policy']
```

```
resources: ['podsecuritypolicies']
```

```
verbs: ['use']
```

```
resourceNames:
```

```
- default-psp
```

```
--
```

```
apiVersion: rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
```

```
kind: RoleBinding
```

```
metadata:
```

```
name: privileged-role-bind
```

```
namespace: psp-test
```

```
roleRef:
```

```
apiGroup: rbac.authorization.k8s.io
```

```
kind: ClusterRole
```

```
name: use-privileged-psp
```

```
subjects:
```

```
- kind: ServiceAccount
```

```
name: privileged-sa
```

```
$ kubectl -n psp-test apply -f clusterrole-use-privileged.yaml
```

After a few moments, the privileged Pod should be created.

Create a new PodSecurityPolicy named prevent-privileged-policy which prevents the creation of privileged pods.

```
apiVersion: policy/v1beta1
```

```
kind: PodSecurityPolicy
```

```
metadata:
```

```
name: example
```

```
spec:
```

```
privileged: false # Don't allow privileged pods!
```

```
# The rest fills in some required fields.
```

```
seLinux:
```

```
rule: RunAsAny
```

```
supplementalGroups:
```

```
rule: RunAsAny
```

```
runAsUser:
```

```
rule: RunAsAny
```

```
fsGroup:
```

```
rule: RunAsAny
```

```
volumes:
```

```
- '*'
```

And create it with kubectl:

```
kubectl-admin create -f example-psp.yaml
```

Now, as the unprivileged user, try to create a simple pod:

```
kubectl-user create -f-<<EOF
```

```
apiVersion: v1
```

```
kind: Pod
```

```
metadata:
```

```
name: pause
```

```
spec:
```

```
containers:
```

```
- name: pause
```

```
image: k8s.gcr.io/pause
```

```
EOF
```

The output is similar to this:

Error from server (Forbidden): error when creating "STDIN": pods "pause" is forbidden: unable to validate against any pod security policy: []

Create a new ServiceAccount named psp-sa in the namespace default.

```
$ cat clusterrole-use-privileged.yaml
```



```
--
apiVersion: rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
kind: ClusterRole
metadata:
name: use-privileged-psp
rules:
- apiGroups: ['policy']
resources: ['podsecuritypolicies']
verbs: ['use']
resourceNames:
- default-psp
--
apiVersion: rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
kind: RoleBinding
metadata:
name: privileged-role-bind
namespace: psp-test
roleRef:
apiGroup: rbac.authorization.k8s.io
kind: ClusterRole
name: use-privileged-psp
subjects:
- kind: ServiceAccount
name: privileged-sa
$ kubectl -n psp-test apply -f clusterrole-use-privileged.yaml
After a few moments, the privileged Pod should be created.
Create a new ClusterRole named prevent-role, which uses the newly created Pod Security Policy prevent-privileged-policy.
apiVersion: policy/v1beta1
kind: PodSecurityPolicy
metadata:
name: example
spec:
privileged: false # Don't allow privileged pods!
# The rest fills in some required fields.
seLinux:
rule: RunAsAny
supplementalGroups:
rule: RunAsAny
runAsUser:
rule: RunAsAny
fsGroup:
rule: RunAsAny
volumes:
_*'
And create it with kubectl:
kubectl-admin create -f example-psp.yaml
Now, as the unprivileged user, try to create a simple pod:
kubectl-user create -f-<<EOF
apiVersion: v1
kind: Pod
metadata:
name: pause
spec:
containers:
- name: pause
image: k8s.gcr.io/pause EOF
The output is similar to this:
Error from server (Forbidden): error when creating "STDIN": pods "pause" is forbidden: unable to validate against any pod security policy: []
Create a new ClusterRoleBinding named prevent-role-binding, which binds the created ClusterRole prevent-role to the created SA psp-sa.
apiVersion: rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
# This role binding allows "jane" to read pods in the "default" namespace.
# You need to already have a Role named "pod-reader" in that namespace.
kind: RoleBinding
metadata:
name: read-pods
namespace: default
subjects:
# You can specify more than one "subject"
-kind: User
name: jane # "name" is case sensitive
apiGroup: rbac.authorization.k8s.io
roleRef:
# "roleRef" specifies the binding to a Role / ClusterRole
kind: Role # this must be Role or ClusterRole
name: pod-reader # this must match the name of the Role or ClusterRole you wish to bind to
apiGroup: rbac.authorization.k8s.io
apiVersion: rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
kind: Role
metadata:
namespace: default
name: pod-reader
rules:
- apiGroups: ["" ] # "" indicates the core API group
resources: ["pods"]
```


verbs:["get", "watch", "list"]

NEW QUESTION 7

On the Cluster worker node, enforce the prepared AppArmor profile

```
#include<tunables/global>
profile docker-nginx flags=(attach_disconnected,mediate_deleted) {
#include<abstractions/base>
network inet tcp,
network inet udp,
network inet icmp,
deny network raw,
deny network packet,
file,
umount,
deny /bin/** wl,
deny /boot/** wl,
deny /dev/** wl,
deny /etc/** wl,
deny /home/** wl,
deny /lib/** wl,
deny /lib64/** wl,
deny /media/** wl,
deny /mnt/** wl,
deny /opt/** wl,
deny /proc/** wl,
deny /root/** wl,
deny /sbin/** wl,
deny /srv/** wl,
deny /tmp/** wl,
deny /sys/** wl,
deny /usr/** wl,
audit /** w,
/var/run/nginx.pid w,
/usr/sbin/nginx ix,
deny /bin/dash mrwxl,
deny /bin/sh mrwxl,
deny /usr/bin/top mrwxl,
capability chown,
capability dac_override,
capability setuid,
capability setgid,
capability net_bind_service,
deny @{PROC}/* w, # deny write for all files directly in /proc (not in a subdir)
# deny write to files not in /proc/<number>/** or /proc/sys/**
deny @{PROC}/{[^1-9],[^1-9][^0-9],[^1-9s][^0-9y][^0-9s],[^1-9][^0-9][^0-9]*}/** w,
deny @{PROC}/sys/[^k]** w, # deny /proc/sys except /proc/sys/k* (effectively /proc/sys/kernel)
deny @{PROC}/sys/kernel/{?,,.[^s][^h][^m]**} w, # deny everything except shm* in
/proc/sys/kernel/
deny @{PROC}/sysrq-trigger rwxl,
deny @{PROC}/mem rwxl,
deny @{PROC}/kmem rwxl,
deny @{PROC}/kcore rwxl,
deny mount,
deny /sys/[^f]** wklx,
deny /sys/f[^s]** wklx,
deny /sys/fs/[^c]** wklx,
deny /sys/fs/c[^g]** wklx,
deny /sys/fs/cg[^r]** wklx,
deny /sys/firmware/** rwxl,
deny /sys/kernel/security/** rwxl,
}
```

Edit the prepared manifest file to include the AppArmor profile.

```
apiVersion: v1
kind: Pod
metadata:
name: apparmor-pod
spec:
containers:
- name: apparmor-pod
image: nginx
Finally, apply the manifests files and create the Pod specified on it.
Verify: Try to use command ping, top, sh
```

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Send us your feedback on it.

NEW QUESTION 8

Analyze and edit the given Dockerfile

```
FROM ubuntu:latest
RUN apt-getupdate -y
RUN apt-install nginx -y
COPY entrypoint.sh /
ENTRYPOINT ["/entrypoint.sh"]
USER ROOT
```

Fixing two instructions present in the file being prominent security best practice issues

Analyze and edit the deployment manifest file

```
apiVersion: v1
kind: Pod
metadata:
  name: security-context-demo-2
spec:
  securityContext:
    runAsUser: 1000
  containers:
  - name: sec-ctx-demo-2
    image: gcr.io/google-samples/node-hello:1.0
    securityContext:
      runAsUser: 0
  privileged:True
  allowPrivilegeEscalation:false
```

Fixing two fields present in the file being prominent security best practice issues

Don't add or remove configuration settings; only modify the existing configuration settings

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

Whenever you need an unprivileged user for any of the tasks, use user test-user with the user id 5487 Send us the Feedback on it.

NEW QUESTION 9

Create a User named john, create the CSR Request, fetch the certificate of the user after approving it. Create a Role name john-role to list secrets, pods in namespace john

Finally, Create a RoleBinding named john-role-binding to attach the newly created role john-role to the user john in the namespace john.

To Verify: Use the kubectl auth CLI command to verify the permissions.

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

se kubectl to create a CSR and approve it.

Get the list of CSRs:

```
kubectl get csr
```

Approve the CSR:

```
kubectl certificate approve myuser
```

Get the certificateRetrieve the certificate from the CSR:

```
kubectl get csr/myuser -o yaml
```

here are the role and role-binding to give john permission to create NEW_CRD resource: kubectlapply-froleBindingJohn.yaml--as=john

```
rolebinding.rbac.authorization.k8s.io/john_external-rosource-rbcreated
```

```
kind:RoleBinding
```

```
apiVersion:rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
```

```
metadata:
```

```
  name:john_crd
```

```
  namespace:development-john
```

```
  subjects:
```

```
-kind:User
```

```
  name:john
```

```
  apiGroup:rbac.authorization.k8s.io
```

```
  roleRef:
```

```
    kind:ClusterRole
```

```
    name:crd-creation
```

```
    kind:ClusterRole
```

```
  apiVersion:rbac.authorization.k8s.io/v1
```

```
  metadata:
```

```
    name:crd-creation
```

```
  rules:
```

```
-apiGroups:["kubernetes-client.io/v1"]
```

```
  resources:["NEW_CRD"]
```

```
  verbs:["create, list, get"]
```

NEW QUESTION 10

Create a PSP that will only allow the persistentvolumeclaim as the volume type in the namespace restricted.

Create a new PodSecurityPolicy named prevent-volume-policy which prevents the pods which is having different volumes mount apart from persistentvolumeclaim.

Create a new ServiceAccount named psp-sa in the namespace restricted.

Create a new ClusterRole named psp-role, which uses the newly created Pod Security Policy prevent-volume-policy
Create a new ClusterRoleBinding named psp-role-binding, which binds the created ClusterRole psp-role to the created SA psp-sa.

Hint:

Also, Check the Configuration is working or not by trying to Mount a Secret in the pod manifest, it should get failed.

POD Manifest:

```
* apiVersion: v1
* kind: Pod
* metadata:
* name:
* spec:
* containers:
* - name:
* image:
* volumeMounts:
* - name:
* mountPath:
* volumes:
* - name:
* secret:
* secretName:
```

- A. Mastered
- B. Not Mastered

Answer: A

Explanation:

```
apiVersion: policy/v1beta1
kind: PodSecurityPolicy
metadata:
name: restricted
annotations:
seccomp.security.alpha.kubernetes.io/allowedProfileNames: 'docker/default,runtime/default'
apparmor.security.beta.kubernetes.io/allowedProfileNames: 'runtime/default' seccomp.security.alpha.kubernetes.io/defaultProfileName: 'runtime/default'
apparmor.security.beta.kubernetes.io/defaultProfileName: 'runtime/default'
spec:
privileged: false
# Required to prevent escalations to root.
allowPrivilegeEscalation: false
# This is redundant with non-root + disallow privilege escalation,
# but we can provide it for defense in depth.
requiredDropCapabilities:
- ALL
# Allow core volume types. volumes:
- 'configMap'
- 'emptyDir'
- 'projected'
- 'secret'
- 'downwardAPI'
# Assume that persistentVolumes set up by the cluster admin are safe to use.
- 'persistentVolumeClaim'
hostNetwork: false
hostIPC: false
hostPID: false
runAsUser:
# Require the container to run without root privileges.
rule: 'MustRunAsNonRoot'
seLinux:
# This policy assumes the nodes are using AppArmor rather than SELinux.
rule: 'RunAsAny'
supplementalGroups:
rule: 'MustRunAs'
ranges:
# Forbid adding the root group.
- min: 1
max: 65535
fsGroup:
rule: 'MustRunAs'
ranges:
# Forbid adding the root group.
- min: 1
max: 65535
readOnlyRootFilesystem: false
```

NEW QUESTION 10

.....

Thank You for Trying Our Product

We offer two products:

1st - We have Practice Tests Software with Actual Exam Questions

2nd - Questions and Answers in PDF Format

CKS Practice Exam Features:

- * CKS Questions and Answers Updated Frequently
- * CKS Practice Questions Verified by Expert Senior Certified Staff
- * CKS Most Realistic Questions that Guarantee you a Pass on Your First Try
- * CKS Practice Test Questions in Multiple Choice Formats and Updates for 1 Year

100% Actual & Verified — Instant Download, Please Click
[Order The CKS Practice Test Here](#)